

INDIANA UTILITY REGULATORY COMMISSION 302 W. WASHINGTON STREET, SUITE E-306 INDIANAPOLIS, INDIANA 46204-2764 http://www.state.in.us/iurc/ Office: (317) 232-2701 Facsimile: (317) 232-6758

)
)
)
)
) FILED
)
) JUL 2 3 2003
)
) INDIANA UTRERY
REGULATORY COMMISSION
) CAUSE NO. 42444

You are hereby notified on this date that the Indiana Utility Regulatory Commission ("Commission") has caused the following Docket Entry to be made as a Commission Data Request:

In order to assist the Commission in its consideration of the Petition in this Cause, Petitioner is directed to fully respond to the following Data Request within six (6) business days of the date of this Docket Entry:

- 1. In your petition you refer to Craigville Telephone Company, Inc. as a Licensee. What is the definition of licensee in this context?
- 2. What is Craigville Telephone Company relationship to Communications Venture Corporation (CVC) in this petition?
- 3. How can CVC d/b/a Indigital provide service to Ossian, Uniondale and Craigville exchanges from Bluffton and not the Bluffton EAS exchanges?
 - 4. Since you are planning on providing facilities-based service where are you locating your switch?
- 5. In your reply to our first request, you reference paragraph 7.3.2 in the SBC/ATT interconnection agreement where it refers to the "SBC-Ameritech's Tandem Switches". What is the meaning of SBC-Ameritech's Tandem Switches? Is this a reference to any tandem switch or just to SBC-Ameritech tandem switches?
- 6. SBC-Ameritech's Bluffton exchange has EASs with most of its surrounding ILEC exchanges. Are calls to and from these exchanges routed through the tandem switch in Fort Wayne and if not how are they routed?
- 7. If they are routed through Fort Wayne, why is this "a complicated mesh of trunking and facility connections" for the CLECs and not for the ILECs?

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Thomas Cobb, Administrative Law Judge

Date:

Nancy E. Manley, Secretary to the Commission